

EVOLUTION OF INSECTICIDE RESISTANCE

Helio Schechtman¹, Max O. Souza^{2*}

¹Fundao Oswaldo Cruz, Brazil

²Universidade Federal Fluminense

maxsouza@id.uff.br(*corresponding author), h.schechtman@fiocruz.br

ABSTRACT

We are witnessing a global re-emergence of many vector-borne diseases such as malaria, dengue and chikungunya disease [1], for which there is neither aetiological treatment nor chemoprophylaxis [2] nor licensed vaccine available. In this scenario, control of the vector population is possibly the best alternative, and lack of such an adequate control might lead to recurrent outbreaks—cf. [3, 4]. Such a control is typically achieved by use of chemical insecticides that target them at a particular stage of their life-cycle, such as larvicides or adulticides [5]. However development of resistance has been routinely observed. In most cases, resistance is likely to be genetically mediated, and due to mutations in one or more genes. Specifically, in the case of pyrethroid-based insecticides the mechanism for resistance is target-site alteration [6, 7], .i.e. a genetic mutation also known as Knock-Down Resistance (KDR). Even though, most resistance mechanisms incur on fitness costs, and KDR is no exception, once a mutation occurs it can spread very fast with slow reversal in the absence of insecticide pressure [8]. Particularly in Brazil, it has been documented in field populations a large increase in these genes frequency and even fixation [9].

With this picture in mind, we employed an *in silico* model adapted from [4], and parametrised it for *Aedes aegypti*—which is a highly competent vector for dengue and the most important one [1]. The persistence of the resistance gene, once it is prevalent in the population, was then investigated by identifying the reversal time for susceptibility as a key quantity [10].

References

- [1] WHO (2013) Dengue and severe dengue. Fact sheet No 117, 2013.

- [2] P.M. Luz, T. Vanni, J. Medlock, A.D. Paltiel, A.P. Galvani, *Lancet*. Dengue vector control strategies in an urban setting: an economic modelling assessment, 377, 9778, 1673-1680, 2011.
- [3] M. Oki, T. Sunahara, M. Hashizume, T. Yamamoto, *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. Optimal Timing of Insecticide Fogging to Minimize Dengue Cases: Modeling Dengue Transmission among Various Seasonalities and Transmission Intensities, 5(10):e1367, 2011.
- [4] P.M. Luz, C.T. Codeço, J. Medlock, C.J. Struchiner, D. Valle, A.P. Galvani, *Epidemiology and Infection*. Impact of insecticide interventions on the abundance and resistance profile of *Aedes aegypti*, 137, 8, 1203-1215, 2009.
- [5] J. Hemingway, H. Ranson, *Annual Review of Entomology*. Insecticide resistance in insect vectors of human disease, 45, 371-391, 2000.
- [6] A.J. Martins, C.D.M. Ribeiro, D.F. Bellinato, A.A. Peixoto, D. Valle, J.B.P. Lima, *PLoS ONE*. Effect of Insecticide Resistance on Development, Longevity and Reproduction of Field or Laboratory Selected *Aedes aegypti* Populations, 7(3):e31889, 2012.
- [7] Y. Du, Y. Nomura, G. Satar, Z. Hu, R. Nauen, S.Y. He, B.S. Zhorov, K. Dong, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. Molecular evidence for dual pyrethroid-receptor sites on a mosquito sodium channel, 110, 29, 11785- 11790, 2013.
- [8] G.A. Garcia *Dinamica da resistencia a inseticidas de populações de Aedes aegypti (Linnaeus, 1762) de quatro regiões do Brasil*, M.Sc., Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, 2012.
- [9] J.G.B. Linss, L.P. Brito, G.A. Garcia, A.S. Araki, R.V. Bruno, J.B.P. Lima, D. Valle, A.J. Martins, *Parasites & Vectors*. Distribution and dissemination of the Val1016Ile and Phe1534Cys Kdr mutations in *Aedes aegypti* Brazilian natural populations, 7(1):25, 2014.
- [10] H. Schechtman, M.O. Souza, *PLoS ONE*. Costly Inheritance and the Persistence of Insecticide Resistance in *Aedes aegypti* Populations, 10(5):e0123961, 2015.